UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Quia Corporation	1	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CV 10-01902 JF
Mattel, Inc., et al	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
Couns following stip	el report that they have medulation pursuant to Civil L.	t and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the .R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties ag	ree to participate in the following	lowing ADR process:
Court	Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (Early Neutral Evaluation (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	
appreciably m ADR phone co	ore likely to meet their nee onference and may not file	settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is ds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Privat ✓	e Process: Private ADR (please ident	tify process and provider) JAMS
The parties ag	ree to hold the ADR session the presumptive deadline (referring the case to an Al	n by: The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order DR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	other requested deadline _	
Dated: 09/03/20	10	/s/ Robert L. Meylan Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 08/16/20	10	/s/ Bobby A. Ghajar Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED]*ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

JUDGE